Holland Board of Public Works Optimization Plan MPSC Case No. U-15866

<u>Introduction</u>

Pursuant to 2008 Public Act 295 (PA 295), the Holland Board of Public Works (HBPW) is filing this energy optimization (EO) plan with the Michigan Public Service Commission (MPSC). PA 295 requires each electric energy provider in Michigan to implement an energy optimization plan that reduces electric energy consumption. This EO Plan was developed in three sections:

- Section 1 will address each requirement under PA 295 Section 71, Subsection 3 (a-i).
- Section 2 will address the requirements under Attachment E of the MPSC Temporary Order U-15800
- Section 3 will furnish additional information under MPSC Temporary Order U-15800

SECTION 1: PA 295 SECTION 71 SUBSECTION 3 REQUIRMENTS

Section 71 (3) (a) The EO plan shall offer programs to each customer class including low income customers;

The table below shows the incremental savings in megawatt hours required for the HBPW Energy Optimization programs.

Savings is rep	Total Savings Required		
Program Year	% Saving	Sales Year	MWH
2008-2009	0.30%	2007	3,205
2010	0.50%	2009	5,011
2011	0.75%	2010	7,136
2012	1.0%	2011	9,169

The HBPW Energy Optimization programs were developed to serve all customer classes including residential low income. The HBPW 2009 plan is based on allocating approximately 8% of its EO budget to low income program, 33.5% to

residential, 49.5% to commercial and industrial, and 9% to evaluation and administration. Program allocations will be revised on an annual basis in order to continue meeting the goals under PA 295.

Shown in this filing are the first four years of EO programming for the HBPW plan. The program portfolio is designed to simultaneously satisfy savings and budget goals. The HBPW will continue its programming after 2012 consistent with 2008 PA 295. Programs that will be offered to each rate class are listed below and are categorized into Low Income Services, Residential Solutions and Business Solutions. A detailed list of budget amounts and the associated kilowatt savings for each customer class can be found in Attachment A. A detailed description, with budgets, of the programs that will be offered to each rate class is included in Attachment B.

Residential Low Income Services

The HBPW will spend 8% of the program budget on low income programs. Target market for this program is residential customers whose income is estimated to be below 200% of poverty level as defined by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Services will be targeted to diverse segments of the population including those living in single family and multi-family buildings, home owners and renters, and to the extent possible – age and geographic diversity. This program provides funding to upgrade the electric energy efficiency of customers living on limited incomes. The HBPW will work with the local weatherization or faith based agencies to leverage their funding by subsidizing the installation of cost-effective electric measures, thereby increasing the number of homes served through the program. The program will be marketed through utility bill inserts, the media and existing low-income community organizations and other partners.

Residential Solutions

The programs below will be available to all HBPW Customers in Rates A and H.

- > Efficient Lighting Program
- Refrigerator/Freezer Turn-In and Recycling Program
- > High-Efficiency Appliances and Electronics Program
- ➤ High-Efficiency HVAC Equipment
- Multi-Family In-Unit Efficiency
- Residential Education Services
- Pilot/Emerging Technology Programs

Business Solutions

The programs below will be available to all HBPW commercial and industrial customers billed on: Rates B, C, F and M.

- Commercial and Industrial Prescriptive Incentive Program
- Commercial and Industrial Custom Incentive Program
- > Business Education Services
- Pilot/Emerging Technology Programs

Section 71 (3) (b) The EO plan shall specify the necessary funding levels;

In order to achieve the mandatory energy savings targets, the HBPW's Energy Optimization Plan will require the maximum spending as allowed in Section 89 (7) of Public Act 295. The estimated funding levels are shown in the table below.

Expenditures	Total Spending		
Program Year	% Spending	Sales Year	\$
2009	0.75%	2007	\$481,021
2010	1.00%	2008	\$758,511
2011	1.50%	2009	\$1,175,025
2012	2.0%	2010	\$1,519,060

Section 71 (3) (c) Describe how EO program costs will be recovered from customers;

All costs associated with the implementation of the HBPW's Energy Optimization Plan will be recovered consistent with Section 89 (2) of Public Act 295. Residential customers will be charged on a per meter basis; primary and secondary customers will be charged on a per meter basis. At this initial filing, there are no specific programs that have been developed for unmetered customers.

The costs for primary customers will not exceed 1.7% of total retail sales for that customer class and for residential and secondary will not exceed 2.2% of total retail sales for those customer classes. [PA 295 Section 89 (3)]

The program costs for the low income residential program have been allocated to all customer classes based on the weighting of the customer class's respective

program costs of the total EO program costs. Any customers who choose to go with a self directed program will be charged a share of the low income program costs.

Customer surcharges for the HBPW EO Program were developed by assuming approximately a 40% Residential and 60% Commercial/Industrial customer class distribution. The total program costs were then allocated across all customer classes, per the table below for the 2009 programs.

Proposed – Not Approved as of April 3, 2009

	Per Month	2009
Residential	Per meter	\$0.78
Secondary	Per meter	\$5.41
(Commercial)		
Secondary	Per meter	\$11.01
(Industrial)		
Primary	Per meter	\$5.41
(Commercial)		
Primary (Industrial)	Per meter	\$11.01

These surcharges will be evaluated each year and adjusted accordingly in order to meet the savings goals.

For 2009, the two (2) HBPW self directed customers will be charged \$0.41 per meter per month for their share of the low income program.

Section 71 (3)(d) Ensure, to the extent feasible, that charges collected from a particular customer rate class are spent on EO programs for that rate class;

Charges for each customer class were developed based on the approximate percentage of programs budget allocations that will be offered for that customer class to the extent feasible.

Section 71 (3) (e) Demonstrate that proposed EO funding is sufficient to ensure achievement of EO savings standards;

The HBPW Program Portfolio was prepared by Summit Blue and Wisconsin Energy Conservation Corporation (WECC) to outline goals, budgets, and programs that have the potential to achieve the targets identified in PA 295. The programs described in this plan were modeled based on typical measure

characteristics used in similar "best practice" programs across the country, along with specific savings estimates from the new Michigan Deemed Savings Database.

Section 71 (3)(f) Specify whether electric energy savings will be based on weather normalized sales or the average megawatt hours of electricity sold by the provider annually during the previous 3 years to retail customers;

The incremental energy savings for the HBPW Energy Optimization Plan will be calculated utilizing the average number of megawatt hours of electricity sold annually during the previous three years to retail customers.

Section 71 (3) (g) Demonstrate that the providers EO programs, excluding low income programs, are collectively cost-effective;

The HBPW programs were designed to meet the cost effective tests as required under PA 295 Sec. 73 (2). The two primary tests that were used to determine if the programs are reasonable and prudent are the Utility System Resource Cost Test and the Cost of Conserved Energy. The definitions according to the California Standard Practices Manual for each of these tests are as follows.

Utility System Resource Cost Test (UCT)

The Utility System Resource Cost Test measures the net costs of an energy efficiency program as a resource option based on the costs incurred by the utility (including incentive costs) and excluding any net costs incurred by the participant. The <u>benefits</u> for the Utility System Resource Cost Test are the avoided supply costs of energy and demand, the reduction in transmission, distribution, generation, and capacity valued at marginal costs for the periods when there is a load reduction. The <u>costs</u> for the Utility System Resource Cost Test are the program costs incurred by the utility, the incentives paid to the customers, and the increased supply costs for the periods in which load is increased.

Cost of Conserved Energy (CCE)

The Cost of Conserved Energy is the average lifecycle cost of an efficiency measure or program expressed in cents per kWh saved over the life of the measures installed. The key benefit of calculating the Cost of Conserved Energy is to compare energy efficiency programs to energy supply options. This calculation places energy efficiency cost estimates at a level comparable to that for supply-side options.

A table of each program with the Utility Cost Test results and the estimated Cost of Conserved Energy is shown below.

Portfolio Category	Program	UCT Results	CCE Results*		
	Low Income	N/A	N/A		
	Efficient Lighting	6.7	\$0.013		
	Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling	2.9	\$0.030		
	Efficient Appliances/Electronics	2.5	\$0.063		
Residential	Efficient HVAC Equipment	2.7	\$0.066		
	Multi-Family Direct Install	6.4	\$0.015		
	Education Services	2.1	\$0.036		
	Pilot/Emerging Technologies	2.2	\$0.038		
	Prescriptive Incentive Program	4.8	\$0.023		
Business	Custom Incentive Program	8.0	\$0.014		
	Education Services	2.1	\$0.036		
	Pilot/Emerging Technologies	2.2	\$0.038		
Projec	Projected Annual Totals				

^{*}The Cost of Conserved Energy is the 10 year levelized \$/kWh.

Section 71 (3) (h) Provide for practical and effective administration of the EO programs;

The overall administration of the HBPW's Energy Optimization Plan will be the responsibility of HBPW personnel. It is the intent of the HBPW to contract with an implementation contractor to provide consistent, quality implementation services to assist in the achievement of our savings goals. The roles and responsibilities of the implementation contractors will be as follows:

- a) Contract financial planning and budgeting,
- b) Proposing and providing delivery plans, implementation schedules/timelines, and milestones for each program,
- c) Data tracking/reporting,
- d) Trade ally recruitment, enrollment, training, technical seminars, workshops, and application completion support,
- e) Strategy and implementation planning/updates with HBPW energy programs staff.

- f) Communicate and coordinate marketing efforts with HBPW Marketing team,
- g) Call center coordinate customer interactions with HBPW staff, contractor to set up single telephone number to manage customer/trade ally questions/concerns,
- h) Provide incentive processing services,
- i) Implement a system for quality control and verification to ensure rebates paid out are for actual measures installed at the appropriate efficiency levels,
- Monitor customer satisfaction and implement a system for tracking complaints and satisfactory resolutions,
- Assist HBPW with Michigan Public Service Commission data requests and explanations including participation (as requested) with any stakeholder meetings,
- Coordination with HBPW Evaluation, Measurement and Verification (EM&V) contractor.

The HBPW will make use of experienced HBPW in-house personnel who will assure quality and compliance by providing oversight, guidance and direction to the outside implementation contractors. It will also work with the implementation contractors who have qualified and experienced staff with the technical capabilities and data tracking systems necessary to deliver the programs effectively. This combination will assure effective and efficient program administration.

Section 71 (3) (i) include a process for obtaining independent expert evaluation of the actual EO savings;

The HBPW will be contracting with an independent third-party for the expert evaluation of the EO programs on an annual basis. This contractor will be responsible for verifying the incremental gross energy savings from each EO program and will be responsible for an annual report of such findings.

<u>SECTION 2: REQUIREMENTS UNDER ATTACHMENT E of MPSC Temporary Order U-15800</u>

MPSC Attachment E Section 3 (a) Plan Elements;

Energy Optimization Plan Development Methodology

In February of 2009, HBPW in cooperation with Michigan Municipal Electric Association (MMEA) contracted with Summit Blue and Wisconsin Energy Conservation Corporation (WECC) to prepare a portfolio of reliable and cost effective energy efficiency programs for implementation starting in 2009.

The HBPW's 2009 – 2012 Energy Optimization Program Portfolio outlines goals, budgets and programs that are designed to achieve the 4-year energy conservation targets identified in Michigan legislation Public Act 295 (PA 295). The programs in this plan were modeled based on typical measure characteristics used in similar "best practice" programs across the country, along with specific savings estimates from the new Michigan Deemed Savings Database. The programs were modeled using a cost/benefit analysis tool that provides results from several stakeholder perspectives. Specifically, the programs were selected based on the following objectives:

- To provide electric energy savings for residential and commercial/industrial customers through a portfolio of proven "best practice" energy efficiency programs that is cost effective from a Utility System Resource Cost perspective;
- To develop program designs that can achieve the required energy savings goals within the specified budget caps identified in PA 295;
- To outline a program ramp-up schedule that allows for a rapid start up of quality programs with high savings potential;
- To recommend potential opportunities to leverage program funding with other state, regional, and national efforts.

The HBPW's Energy Optimization plan implementation strategy is to utilize existing market channels as the most efficient means to drive resource acquisition efforts while maximizing program spillover and sustainable market transformation effects. The programs in the portfolio work closely with market providers in the utility's service territory to educate them on the benefits of selling high efficiency products and services and to assist them in marketing those benefits to their customers. This approach has been proven to induce positive spillover impacts.

The programs are designed to minimize free-ridership by motivating trade allies and customers to (1) pursue projects that they would otherwise not have implemented, 2) pursue these projects sooner than they otherwise would have, or 3) implement equipment/measures at a higher efficiency level than they otherwise would have.

Incentives are only offered on measures that exceed current codes and standards and are often "tiered" to encourage customers to implement the highest level of efficiency available.

Savings estimates for all measures are based on information in the Michigan Deemed Savings Database, including both weather-sensitive and non weather-sensitive measures. The eQuest model was used to assist in developing the baseline market profiles. The Summit Blue DSM Resource Assessment Model was used to estimate achievable potential for the utility's service area.

A spreadsheet model was used to conduct the benefit-cost analysis, using the projected avoided costs accepted by the MPSC. The model calculates benefit-cost results for each of the major and nationally-defined perspectives: Participant Test, Rate Impact Test, Total Resource Cost Test, and the Utility System Resource Cost Test, as well as the Cost of Conserved Energy.

MPSC Attachment E Section 1 (e) Plan Requirements;

Other cost-effective tests were utilized to determine cost effectiveness of the HBPW programs and the definitions of those tests according to the California Standard Practices Manual are:

Total Resource Cost Test (TRC)

The Total Resource Cost Test measures the net costs of an energy efficiency program as a resource option based on the total costs of the program, including both the participants' and the utility's costs. This test represents the combination of the effects of a program on both the customers participating and those not participating in a program. The <u>benefits</u> calculated in the Total Resource Cost Test are the avoided supply costs, the reduction in transmission, distribution, generation, and capacity costs valued at marginal cost for the periods when there is a load reduction. The <u>costs</u> in this test are the program costs paid by both the utility and the participants. Thus all equipment costs, installation, operation and maintenance, and administration costs, no matter who pays for them, are included in this test. For DSM programs, those that pass the TRC test with a ratio of greater than 1 is viewed as beneficial to the utility and its customers because the savings in electric costs outweigh the DSM costs.

Participant Test (PCT)

The Participants Test is the measure of the quantifiable benefits and costs to the customer due to participation in a program. The <u>benefits</u> of participation in a demand-side program include the reduction in the customer's utility bill and any incentive paid by the utility. The <u>costs</u> to a customer of program participation are all out-of-pocket expenses incurred as a result of participating in a program, plus any increases in the customer's utility bill.

The Ratepayer Impact Measure Test (RIM)

The Ratepayer Impact Measure (RIM) test measures what happens to customer bills or rates due to changes in utility revenues and operating costs caused by the program. This test indicates the direction and magnitude of the expected change in customer bills or rate levels. The <u>benefits</u> calculated in the RIM test are the savings from avoided supply costs. The <u>costs</u> for this test are the program costs incurred by the utility; the incentives paid to the participant, and decreased revenues for any periods in which load have been decreased.

A table with the multiple cost-effectiveness tests required for each program is shown below:

Portfolio Category	Program	Utility System Resource Cost Test	Total Resource Cost Test	Participant Test	Rate Impact Measure
	Low Income	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Efficient Lighting	6.7	4.6	4.6	0.9
	Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling	2.9	3.1	No Cost	0.8
	Efficient Appliances/Electronics	2.5	1.3	1.0	1.0
Residential	Efficient HVAC Equipment	2.7	1.5	1.0	1.1
	Multi-Family Direct Install	6.4	6.4	15.0	0.9
	Education Services	2.1	2.1	No Cost	0.7
	Pilot/Emerging Technologies	2.2	2.2	No Cost	0.7
		T	T		
	Prescriptive Incentive Program	4.8	2.1	1.5	1.1
Business	Custom Incentive Program	8.0	3.1	2.0	1.3
	Education Services	2.1	2.1	No Cost	0.7
	Pilot/Emerging Technologies	2.2	2.2	No Cost	0.7
Proj	ected Annual Totals	4.9	2.6	2.2	1.1

MPSC Attachment E Section 3 (b-f) Plan Elements;

b) The EO portfolio summary (MPSC Table 2) can be found in Attachment A and a summary of each program (MPSC Table 1) is shown in Attachment B. Savings estimates for all measures are based on the Michigan Deemed Savings Database. The HBPW will reserve twenty percent of overall budget (by customer class) which will ensure program flexibility and allow for reallocation of funding to other

programs that are more cost-effective or where technology or market participation impacts require additional resources.

- c) Five percent of budget will be utilized for pilot programs, future energy optimization program development or to assess emerging technologies. The budgets for pilot programs will also be deemed to generate a proportional amount of required energy savings for each program year where the money is spent
- **d)** Three percent of the EO budget will be used on education programs. These budget expenditures will communicate and educate customers on the benefits of energy efficiency, conservation and load management. Budget funds for education will be deemed to generate a proportional amount of the required energy savings for each program year in which the money is spent. HBPW programs are designed to include an education component for both the Residential and Business customers.
- **e)** The HBPW Plan includes a residential low income program and costs for this program will be recovered from each customer rate class in proportion to that rate class' funding of all programs.
- f) The HBPW has set aside no more than eight percent of program budget for program evaluation, measurement and verification activities to determine actual program energy savings.

MPSC Attachment E Section 4 Self-Directed Energy Optimization Plan for Electric Customers;

Communication to qualifying HBPW customers regarding the self directed option was sent on January 16, 2009. A copy of that correspondence is included in Attachment C.

Two (2) HBPW customers elected to self direct. The following represents the total savings reductions for those two (2) customers:

2009: 102,647 kWh 2010: 187,745 kWh

SECTION 3: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Comment Proceedings:

The HBPW provided an opportunity for public comments on the Energy Optimization Plan. A Public Hearing was held at the HBPW Service Center, 625

Hastings Avenue, Holland, MI 49423 at 4:00 pm on Thursday, March 19, 2009. This meeting was noticed in the Holland Sentinel newspaper on Wednesday, March 11, 2009. Public comments were solicited both via the HBPW Website from March 10, 2009 – March 20, 2009 along with comments from the public that were made at the March 19, 2009 Public Hearing. All of the public comments received will be submitted to the MPSC prior to June 2, 2009.

Michigan Saves Program; The HBPW plans to evaluate all potential financing programs to include in future program development. As part of that evaluation process the HBPW will participate in the Michigan Saves meetings through MMEA as an observer.

Recovery of Costs from Customers; The HBPW does recognize the difference in usage patterns and load characteristics of the secondary customer base and developed two separate charges in response to those differences.

Coordination of Energy Optimization Programs; The HBPW has and will continue to meet with other utilities and agencies regarding the coordination of programs.

3/27/09

Holland's Energy Optimization Program Portfolio Table 1

					200	9		201	0		201	1			201	2	
Portfolio Category	Program Portfolio	USRCT Results	CCE	Results	Gross First Year kWh Savings		Program Budget	Gross First Year kWh Savings		Program Budget	Gross First Year kWh Savings		rogram Budget	Gross Firs kWh Sav			Program Budget
Self-Direct	Self Direct Customers	N/A		N/A	102,647			187,745									
Residential	Low Income Services	N/A		N/A	59,200	\$	38,480	93,354	\$	60,680	144,615	\$	94,000	18	6,954	\$	121,52
	Efficient Lighting	6.7	\$	0.013	372,830	\$	30,863	559,245	\$	46,294	838,868	\$	84,684	1,25	8,301	\$	127,02
	Refrigerator/Freezer Turn-In & Recycling	2.9	\$	0.030	361,207	\$	49,385	451,509	\$	63,975	564,386	\$	88,832	70	5,482	\$	114,80
	Efficient Appliances/Electronics	2.5	\$	0.063		\$	-	16,963	\$	7,893	25,445	\$	12,860	3	5,623	\$	18,22
	Efficient HVAC Equipment	2.7	\$	0.066		\$	-	8,418	\$	5,843	10,523	\$	7,838	1	3,153	\$	9,797
	Multi-Family In-Unit Efficiency	6.4	\$	0.015		\$	-	307,449	\$	32,048	307,449	\$	35,208	30	7,449	\$	36,056
	Educational Services	2.1	\$	0.036	48,079	\$	7,215	75,174	\$	11,378	107,045	\$	17,625	13	37,547	\$	22,785
	Pilot/Emerging Technology Programs	2.2	\$	0.038		\$	-	50,116	\$	7,585	142,726	\$	23,500	22	9,245	\$	37,97
	Program Savings-2008	N/A		N/A	13,269												
	Subtotal - Residential Solutions				854,585	\$	125,942	1,562,227	\$	235,695	2,141,056	\$	364,547	2,87	3,753	\$	488,184
Commercial &						_											
Industrial	Prescriptive Incentive Program	4.8	\$	0.023	,- ,	\$	250,156			326,752			402,395	,	27,047		415,47
	Custom Incentive Program	8.0	\$	0.014	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$	54,418	,		108,837			261,208	· ·	2,310		417,93
	Educational Services	2.1	\$	0.036	48,079	\$	7,215	· ·		11,378	- /		17,625		37,547		22,78
	Pilot/Emerging Technology Programs	2.2	\$	0.038		\$	-	50,116		7,585	,		23,500		29,245		37,97
	Subtotal - Business Solutions				2,248,072	\$	311,789	3,261,744	\$	454,551	4,995,479	\$	704,728	6,29	6,148	\$	894,16
	Total Program Portfolio				3,205,304	\$	437,731	5,011,716	\$	690,246	7,136,535	\$	1,069,275	9,16	9,901	\$	1,382,35
Portfolio-Level Costs	Utility Program Administration					\$	24,050		\$	37,925		\$	58,750			\$	75,950
	Evaluation (EM&V)					\$	19,240		\$	30,340		\$	47,000			\$	60,760
	Subtotal - Utility Admin/Evaluation					\$	43,290		\$	68,265		\$	105,750			\$	136,710
F	l Projected Annual Totals	4.9	\$	0.021	3,205,304	\$	481,021	5,011,716	\$	758,511	7,136,535	\$	1,175,025	9,16	9,901	\$	1,519,060

Holland's Proposed Energy Optimization Programs – Table 2 Residential Programs

Program Element	Services for Residential Customers with Limited Incomes
Objective	 Provide recommendations, financial assistance and education to customers with limited income to assist them in reducing their electric energy use and managing their utility costs. Coordinate low-income services with other utilities and with local weatherization providers in order to provide comprehensive assistance at lower administrative costs.
Target Market	Residential customers whose income is estimated to be below 200% of poverty level. Services will be targeted to diverse segments of the population including those living in single family and multi-family buildings, home owners and renters, and to the extent possible – age and ethnic diversity.
Program Duration	Start-up in Summer 2009. Services for customers with limited income will be an ongoing element of the program portfolio.
Program Description	Services for customers with limited income will be closely coordinated with the local weatherization agency and other applicable State and utility programs. In an ongoing effort, the utility intends to work with the agency responsible for implementing the Federal LIHEAP program to leverage their funding by subsidizing the installation of cost-effective electric measures, thereby increasing the number of homes served through the program.
Eligible Measures	Cost effective electric measures that will be permissible for this program include CFL's, refrigerator replacement, furnaces with high-efficiency motors, and weatherization measures that can reduce central air-conditioning use.
Implementation Strategy	Coordination with the local weatherization agency to subsidize the installation of cost-effective electric measures.
Marketing Strategy	Marketing will be closely coordinated with the local weatherization agency and the utility's implementation contractor. Key elements of the marketing strategy include: Targeted outreach through local agencies Posters in municipal buildings and at local community events
Milestones in 2009	February-March: Develop Energy Optimization Plan April: File Energy Optimization Plan with MPSC April-May: Select program implementation contractor July: Launch program
EM&V Requirements	Evaluation activity will focus on verification of installation and estimates of deemed savings.
Estimated Participation	Participation levels to be determined.

Estimated Budget								
		Annual Budgets						
	2009	2009 2010 2011 2012						
	\$38,480	\$60,680	\$94,000	\$121,520				
Savings Targets								
		Energy Savings (C	Gross Annual kWh)					
	2009	2010	2011	2012				
	59,200	93,354	144,615	186,954				

Residential Programs

Program Element	Residential Efficie	nt Lighting Program					
Objective		Produce long-term annual energy savings in the residential sector by increasing the market share of high-efficiency lighting products sold through retail sales channels.					
Target Market		ers purchasing bulbs and fixiperty owners and customers					
Program Duration	Start-up in July 2009	and will be an ongoing eleme	ent of the program portfolio.				
Program Description	The Residential Lighting Program will be closely coordinated with other statewide utility initiatives in order to ensure that residential customers across the State have consistent opportunities and motivation to purchase high efficiency lighting products at local retailers. Customer incentives facilitate the increased purchase of high-efficiency products while in-store support makes provider participation easier.						
Eligible Measures		FL's, Energy Star Lighting Fix Estimated gross energy savin		ans and			
	Measure	Eligibility	Gross Annual kWh Savings/ Unit				
	CFL	Energy Star	44.1				
	Fixture	Energy Star	78				
	Ceiling Fan	Energy Star	78				
	LED Holiday Lights		11				
Implementation Strategy	will work closely	nation with other utilities: with other appropriate Michig materials, and market provide	an utilities to coordinate ince				
	implementation c	tailer recruitment for buy-dontractor will work closely wit ailer participation for the mark	th other Michigan utilities to	solicit			
		Retailer recruitment, education and outreach: The utility's implementation contractor will recruit local retailers for participation in the coupon components of the					
	•	Incentive processing: The utility's implementation contractor will manage prompt processing of retailer/customer incentive payments.					
	bulb collection at	The utility's implementation c all participating retailers. Ret and transportation for the bir	ailers will be given training o	•			

				Allacillient				
	Meas	ure Elig	ibility Incentive	e per Unit				
	CFL	Energy S	tar	\$1.50				
	Fixture	Energy S	tar	\$15.00				
	Ceiling Fan	Energy S	tar	\$15.00				
	LED Holiday I	ights		\$3.00				
		,	-					
Marketing Strategy	retailers. Materials we the benefits of high-order life, safety, appendix ENERGY STAR brain associations. Key else Point-of-purchase Cooperative adv							
Milestones in 2009	February-March: De April: File Energy Op April-May: Select p July: Launch progra	otimization Plan with rogram implementati	MPSC					
EM&V Requirements	Deemed savings val Statewide Deemed S Evaluation activity w savings.	Savings Database (a	s identified by MPSC	•				
Estimated Participation	Pa	rticipation (in Units	of Installed Measu	res)				
	2009	2010	2011	2012				
	8,698	13,047	19,571	29,356				
Estimated Budget								
		Annual	Budgets					
	2009	2010	2011	2012				
	\$30,863	\$30,863 \$46,294 \$84,684 \$127,027						
Savings Targets								
		Energy Savings (C	Gross Annual kWh)					
	2009	2010	2011	2012				
	372,830	559,245	838,868	1,258,301				
				·				

Residential Programs

Program Element	Residential Refrigerator	r/Freezer Turn-In and	d Recycling Program				
Objective	Produce long-term annual energy savings in the residential sector by removing operable, inefficient refrigerators and freezers from the power grid and recycling them in an environmentally safe manner.						
Target Market		Residential customers who are currently operating older, inefficient refrigerators and/or freezers either as primary or secondary units.					
Program Duration	Start-up in July 2009 and w	ill be an ongoing elemer	nt of the program portfolio.				
Program Description	The average household replaces a refrigerator every ten years. However, many of the refrigerators being replaced are still functioning, so they often become backup appliances – energy guzzlers in basements and garages – or sold in a used-market. The Turn-In Program will be established to target those "second" refrigerators and freezers, providing the dual benefit of cutting energy consumption and keeping the appliances out of the used-market.						
Eligible Measures	values were based on docu	mented values from the ified by MPSC Order U- ordance with current ma					
	Measure	Eligibility	Gross Annual kWh Savings/ Unit				
	Recycled Refrigerator	Operable unit	1,672				
	Recycled Freezer	Operable unit	1,551				
Implementation Strategy	 Planning coordination with other utilities: The utility's implementation contractor will work closely with other appropriate Michigan utilities to coordinate incentive levels, eligibility requirements, marketing materials, and selection of a recycling contractor. Turn-key appliance pick-up/recycling: The utility's implementation contractor will select a qualified recycling service subcontractor to provide comprehensive, turn-key implementation services from eligibility verification and scheduling of pick-ups to proper disposal and recycling of turned-in appliances. Incentive coordination and processing: The utility's implementation contractor will coordinate prompt processing of incentive payments. 						
	Incentives for this progra	m will be \$20 per unit.					
Marketing Strategy	the cost of operating older, ENERGY STAR qualified m	inefficient appliances, th odels, and the importan	education message emphasize benefits of early replacement ce of proper disposal and recy ENERGY STAR brand, which	nt with cling			

	a high level of consu	ımer recognition and	favorable association	ns. Key elements of the			
	~	marketing strategy include:					
		Website links to EPA's new "ENERGY STAR Recycle My Old Fridge Campaign" at www.recyclemyoldfridge.com. Includes calculators to estimate savings.					
	Point-of-purchase	se displays					
	Cooperative adv	ertising with retailers	3				
	Posters in munic	cipal buildings					
Milestones in 2009	April: File Energy O April-May: Select p	February-March: Develop Energy Optimization Plan April: File Energy Optimization Plan with MPSC April-May: Select program implementation contractor July: Launch program					
EM&V Requirements	Evaluation activity w savings.	rill focus on verification	on of installation and e	estimates of deemed			
Estimated Participation							
	Pa	rticipation (in Units	of Installed Measur	res)			
	2009	2010	2011	2012			
	219	274	342	428			
Estimated Budget							
		Annual	Budgets				
	2009	2010	2011	2012			
	\$49,385	\$63,975	\$88,832	\$114,804			
Savings Targets							
		Energy Savings (Gross Annual kWh)					
	2009	2010	2011	2012			
	361,207	451,509	564,386	705,482			
			_				

Residential Programs

Program Element	Residential High-Efficier	ncy Appliances	and Electronics	Program
Objective	Produce long-term annual energe efficiency appliances and electric clothes washers and the early reconditioners and dehumidifiers	ronics. Initially the pretirement and recy	orogram will promote h cling of older, inefficien	nigh-efficiency nt room air-
Target Market	Residential customers purchasi operating older, inefficient room property owners are also eligible	air-conditioners a		
Program Duration	Start-up in 2010. This will be an	ongoing element	of the program portfolion	0.
Program Description	This program will provide incentinefficient dehumidifiers and roc qualified units. Since the retail reconditioners is high, this progra units that are still functioning. To turn-in events at which customers STAR qualified dehumidifier and unit. Customers also receive a repurchasing a new one. Turned-appropriate recycling. The program will also provide in standards (CEE Levels 2 & 3). In utility so that the electric utility procustomers with electric water her incentive based on the estimate the program may target other conference.	om air-conditioners market share of EN m focuses instead he program will parers receive a rebate d/or room air conditioners for turning in units will be collected. This initiative will be pays a portion of the eating and the natured % of customers	with high-efficiency Elas IERGY STAR dehumic on rewarding early reported with a local retailed toward the purchase itioner when they turn in a functioning unit event and the examples washers that meet the coordinated with the elincentive based on the laral gas utility pays a possible with gas water heating	NERGY STAR diffiers and room air- colacement of older er to sponsor special of a new ENERGY in a functioning used en if they are not not transported for the highest efficiency local natural gas he estimated % of cortion of the g. In future years,
Eligible Measures	The measures listed below have values were based on documer Database (as identified by MPS as needed in accordance with cresults, and program implement	nted values from th SC Order U-15800. current market cond	ne Michigan Statewide The utility will revise ditions, technology dev	Deemed Savings eligible measures
	Measure	Eligibility	Gross Annual kWh Savings/ Unit	
	Clothes Washer	CEE Level 2	322	
	Clothes Washer	CEE Level 3	372	
	Room AC Purchase	ENERGY STAR	42	
	Room AC Turn-in	Operable unit	113	
	Dehumidifier Purchase			

			Attacillient B
umidifier Turn-in	Operable unit	139	
psely with other appry requirements, mark recruitment, educate a field representationing the volume of united the delivery of resprency processing are turn-in and recy to retailer(s) to coordination with also coordination of the prompt processing are turn-in and recy to retailer(s) to coordination will also coordinations.	n other utilities: The utilities of the distribution of the distri	to coordinate incider outreach. The utility's implement of a host reprogram's unit is implementation als to participating mentation contrains component is portation and respondent in component in component in component in and respondent in and respondent.	entive levels, entation contractor etailer(s) including goal. n contractor will ng retailers and will ctor will work with t of the promotion. ecycling of turned-
Measure	Eligibility	Incentive per Unit	
hes Washer	CEE Level 2	\$50	
hes Washer	CEE Level 3	\$50	
m AC Purchase	ENERGY STAR	\$15	
m AC Turn-in	Operable unit	\$20	
umidifier Purchase	ENERGY STAR	\$15	
umidifier Turn-in	Operable unit	\$20	
TAR qualified model naterials will leverage ecognition and favoration and favoration and favoration and favorative advertising with and Outside banner	for turn-in events gy Optimization Plan	energy savings, and, which enjoys	lower noise, etc.). s a high level of
Select program impl Launch program	ementation contractor	and actimates of	f deemed savings
			acemeu savings.
	(in Units of Installed Me	easures)	12
	1 201	28	1
9			

Estimated Budget				
		Annual	Budgets	
	2009	2010	2011	2012
		\$7,893	\$12,860	\$18,221
Savings Targets			1	
		Energy Savings (C	Gross Annual kWh)	
	2009	2010	2011	2012
		16,963	25,445	35,623

Residential Programs

Program Element	Residential High-Efficience	cy HVAC Equi	pment
Objective	Produce long-term annual energy and installation of high-efficiency	-	esidential sector by promoting the purchase ing equipment.
Target Market	Residential customers installing	new central AC ur	nits and/or furnaces.
Program Duration	Start-up in 2010. This will be an	ongoing element o	of the program portfolio.
Program Description	can reduce electric energy use. I efficiency central air-conditioning motors (electrically commutated the heating and cooling seasons. Although federal efficiency stand there are still opportunities to proachieve additional energy saving central air-conditioners when institute the primary type of heating this program hopes to closely cocan be coordinated on units that	nitially the program and premium effit motors – ECMs). ards for central at mote units that exist the program we talled along with a program in the utility ordinate with the ligh-effit	ote heating and cooling technologies that m will focus on the promotion of high-ciency furnaces that have high-efficiency ECM motors save electric energy during r-conditioning have recently increased, acceed the current standards and thus will provide incentives for high-efficiency an ECM furnace. Ility's service area is natural gas forced air, ocal natural gas provider so that incentives ciency motors. As the program matures, allation and appropriate sizing to further
Eligible Measures	values were based on document Database (as identified by MPSC	ed values from the Order U-15800.) nt market condition	r planning purposes. Deemed savings e Michigan Statewide Deemed Savings The utility will revise eligible measures as ons, technology development, EM&V
		Eligibility	Gross Annual kWh Savings/ Unit
	Central AC	SEER 14	497
	Central AC	SEER 15	532
	Central AC	SEER16	396
	Furnace with ECM motor	ECM motor	421

Implementation				utility's implementation o	
Strategy		h other appropriate ements, marketing n		es to coordinate incentiventractor outreach.	'e levels,
	Contractor recipions contractor will ut	ruitment, educatio	n and outreac ntative to facilit	ch. The utility's implement at the recruitment of lo	
	Application pro		y's implementa	tion contractor will coord	linate
	М	easure	Eligibility	Tentative Incentive per Unit]
	Central AC	5	SEER 14	\$100]
	Central AC	5	SEER 15	\$250	1
	Central AC	S	SEER16	\$350]
	Furnace with	ECM motor E	ECM motor	\$150]
Marketing Strategy Milestones	direct influencers of	customer purchase vith their customers will be coordinated evelop Energy Option Plan with program implements	e decisions. Cor as well as acce with the local r imization Plan th MPSC		ucational
EM&V Requirements Estimated	Evaluation activity w	rill focus on verificat	ion of installatio	on and estimates of dee	med savings.
Participation	Pa	articipation (in Uni	ts of Installed	Measures)	
	2009	2010	2011	2012	
		18	23	28	
Estimated Budget	L				
		Annua	l Budgets		
	2009	2010	2011	2012	
		\$5,843	\$7,838	\$9,797	
Savings Targets					
		Energy Savings	(Gross Annua	ıl kWh)	
	2009	2010	2011	2012	
		8,418	10,52	3 13,153	

Residential Programs

Program Element	Residential Multi-Fami	ly In-Unit Efficiency	/	
Objective	Produce immediate annual e installation of CFL's and low-			
Target Market	Property owners of multi-fam water heating.	ily buildings (both apartn	nents and condominiums) v	with electric
Program Duration	Start-up in 2010. This will be	an ongoing element of th	ne program portfolio.	
Program Description	The Multi-Family In-Unit Efficiency customers reduce their electrimplementation contractor will currently have electric water flow water-saving devices. Edwith these devices is left behind occupants at no cost.	ic energy use in multi-fal Il send out a crew of insta heaters. The crew will ins ducational information ab	mily buildings. The utility's allers to retrofit targeted bustall 5-8 CFL's, along with soout the energy savings as	ildings that several low- sociated
Eligible Measures	The measures listed below have values were based on document Database (as identified by MI)	ented values from the M	lichigan Statewide Deemed	d Savings
	needed in accordance with corresults, and program implementations.	urrent market conditions,	technology development, Gross Annual kWh	
	needed in accordance with coresults, and program implementations. Measure	urrent market conditions, entation experience. Eligibility	technology development, Gross Annual kWh Savings/ Unit	
	needed in accordance with coresults, and program implement the control of the con	urrent market conditions, entation experience. Eligibility ENERGY STAR	Gross Annual kWh Savings/ Unit	
	needed in accordance with coresults, and program implements. Measure CFL's (5 per unit) Low-Flow Showerhead	entation experience. Eligibility ENERGY STAR 1.5 gpm	Gross Annual kWh Savings/ Unit 220.5 518	
	needed in accordance with coresults, and program implements. Measure CFL's (5 per unit) Low-Flow Showerhead Faucet Aerator–Kitchen	entation experience. Eligibility ENERGY STAR 1.5 gpm 1.5 gpm	Gross Annual kWh Savings/ Unit	
	needed in accordance with coresults, and program implements Measure CFL's (5 per unit) Low-Flow Showerhead Faucet Aerator–Kitchen Faucet Aerator–Bath	entation experience. Eligibility ENERGY STAR 1.5 gpm 1.5 gpm 1.5 gpm	Gross Annual kWh Savings/ Unit 220.5 518	
	needed in accordance with coresults, and program implements. Measure CFL's (5 per unit) Low-Flow Showerhead Faucet Aerator–Kitchen	entation experience. Eligibility ENERGY STAR 1.5 gpm 1.5 gpm	Gross Annual kWh Savings/ Unit 220.5 518 166	
Implementation Strategy	needed in accordance with coresults, and program implements Measure CFL's (5 per unit) Low-Flow Showerhead Faucet Aerator–Kitchen Faucet Aerator–Bath Pipe Wrap • Targeted outreach to pure promote the program to i • In-unit direct installs. To appointments with interest installers who will complements will be trained on the	Eligibility ENERGY STAR 1.5 gpm 1.5 gpm 1.5 gpm 6 ft/each roperty owners. The util nterested property owners are the in-unit installation e most appropriate applicials in each unit, to description.	Gross Annual kWh Savings/ Unit 220.5 518 166 166 257 ity's implementation contrars with electric water heating the contractor will schedule in contractor will oversee at of CFL's and low-flow devications for CFL's. The crewinibe for the resident the worse	actor willing. installation crew of ices. The w will leave
	needed in accordance with coresults, and program implements. Measure CFL's (5 per unit) Low-Flow Showerhead Faucet Aerator–Kitchen Faucet Aerator–Bath Pipe Wrap • Targeted outreach to pure promote the program to installers. The appointments with interest installers who will complements will be trained on the behind educational material.	Eligibility ENERGY STAR 1.5 gpm 1.5 gpm 1.5 gpm 6 ft/each roperty owners. The util nterested property owne steed property owners. The tet he in-unit installation e most appropriate application in each unit, to describe the energy-saving beneficials in each unit.	Gross Annual kWh Savings/ Unit 220.5 518 166 166 257 ity's implementation contrars with electric water heating a contractor will schedule in econtractor will oversee at of CFL's and low-flow devications for CFL's. The creveribe for the resident the world its contractor will expect the contractor will oversee at of CFL's and low-flow devications for CFL's. The creverible for the resident the world its contractor will oversee at of CFL's and low-flow devications for CFL's. The creverible for the resident the world its contractor will oversee at of CFL's.	actor willing. installation crew of ices. The w will leave

	eligible property ow by the field represe	ners will be identified ntative.	from the utility's infor	mation system and c	ontacted
Milestones	April: File Energy C	Develop Energy Optim Optimization Plan with program implementation program	MPSC		
EM&V Requirements	Evaluation activity v	vill focus on verificatio	n of installation and e	estimates of deemed	savings.
Estimated Participation		Participation (in	# of Living Units)]
		r artioipation (iii	" or Eiving office)		
	2009	2010	2011	2012	
		232	232	232	
Estimated Budget					
		Annual	Budgets		
	2009	2010	2011	2012	
		\$32,048	\$35,208	\$36,056	
Savings Targets		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
		Energy Savings (C	Gross Annual kWh)		
	2009	2010	2011	2012	
		307,449	307,449	307,449	

Residential Programs

Program Element	Residential Edu	cation Services			
Objective	efficiency. To provide e in the utility's	ducational materials	areness of the benefits and services that mo a programs and to mo mption.	tivate customers to	participate
Target Market	All residential custon	ners			
Program Duration	Start-up in July 2009	. Will be an ongoing	element of the progra	am portfolio.	
Program Description		build and expand c	ograms, the utility pla onsumer awareness		
Eligible Measures	Not applicable for thi	s program.			
Implementation Strategy	 Develop, pro- energy efficie Work with lo- agencies and the benefits constituent n 	oduce, and distribute ency portfolio throug cal Chamber of Com d other civic organiza of energy conservationeetings and other jorgy education/aware	energy efficiency tips h bill inserts and new merce, Mayor's office ations to distribute ed on and efficiency. Ma bint ventures. ness booths at sched	s and information abouters. e, municipal governational material processentations at	nent romoting their
Marketing Strategy	See implementation	strategy for a list of r	marketing activities.		
Milestones in 2009	February-March: De April: File Energy Op April-May: Select programmer July: Launch programmer April-May: April-May: Launch programmer April-May: Launch programme	otimization Plan with rogram implementati	MPSC		
EM&V Requirements	None at this time.				
Estimated Participation	To be determined.				
Estimated Budget		Annual	Budgets		
	2009	2010	2011	2012	
	\$7,215	\$11,378	\$17,625	\$22,785	

\sim		_	_	
Sa	ving	10 I	arc	10tc
Οu	V 11 1C	10 1	aic	1010

	Energy Savings (Gross Annual kWh)	
2009	2010	2011	2012
48,079	75,174	107,045	137,547

Residential Programs

Program Element	Residential Pilot/Emerging Technology Programs
Objective	To identify and learn more about new energy efficient technologies and program strategies with potential to capture additional electric energy savings.
Target Market	Dependent on specific technology/program.
Program Duration	Initially, the utility will focus on the successful start-up and delivery of well-established programs that have been proven to capture significant energy savings in similar regions throughout the country. Beginning in 2010, the utility plans to coordinate with other initiatives that might be undertaken by municipal utilities to research and pilot innovative technologies and strategies that will reduce residential energy consumption.
Program Description	Residential pilot programs could pursue the following types of new initiatives:
	Residential-sized HVAC equipment optimized for performance in cold-climate (may include new developments in heat-pump technology)
	 Advanced residential water heating technology (including heat pumps and solar water heating) Promotion of LED lighting technology in residential applications
	Participation in statewide initiatives to reward manufacturers for highest efficiency appliance design
	One-switch controls for shutting down electric load in homes
	 Residential water-saving education and devices that could reduce electric energy use on municipal water handling systems
	Financing packages that could assist capital-constrained customers
	 Neighborhood initiatives that motivate energy conservation through better information and normalized comparative energy use-data.
Eligible Measures	To be determined based on programs selected.
Implementation Strategy	To be determined based on programs selected.
Marketing Strategy	To be determined based on programs selected.
Milestones	February-March: Develop Energy Optimization Plan April: File Energy Optimization Plan with MPSC April-May: Select program implementation contractor July 2010: Launch program
EM&V Requirements	Not available at this time.
Estimated	To be determined based on programs selected.

	Annual	Budgets	
2009	2010	2011	2012
	\$7,585	\$23,500	\$37,975
	-		
	Energy Savings (C	Gross Annual kWh)	
2009	Energy Savings (C	Gross Annual kWh)	2012
	2009	2009 2010	

Program Element	Commercial Prescriptive Incentive Program
Objective	 There are two primary objectives for the Commercial Prescriptive Incentive Program: Increase the market share of a targeted group of commercial high-efficiency electric technologies sold through market channels. Increase the installation rate of a targeted group of high-efficiency electric technologies in commercial facilities by businesses that would not have done so in
Target Market	the absence of the program. All business customers are eligible to participate in the Commercial Prescriptive Incentive Program when they purchase qualifying equipment. However, the program will utilize a targeted outreach strategy to influence specific markets. 1) Market Providers (wholesalers, distributors, contractors, and retail stores that will
	promote the qualifying technologies) 2) High-impact/high-need customer sectors (such as schools, municipal buildings, hospitals, food service, and hospitality)
Program Duration	Start-up in July 2009. The Prescriptive Incentive Program will be an ongoing element of the program portfolio.
Program Description	The program will affect the purchase and installation of high-efficiency technologies through a combination of market push and pull strategies that stimulate market demand while simultaneously increasing market provider investment in stocking and promoting them. The program will increase demand by educating business customers about the energy and money saving benefits associated with efficient products and equipping market providers to communicate those benefits directly to their customers. To address the first-cost barrier for customers, the program will utilize financial incentives (i.e. cash-back mail-in rebates) averaging 20% to 40% of the incremental cost of purchasing qualifying technologies. The program will stimulate market provider investment in stocking and promoting efficient products through a targeted outreach effort. The implementation contractor will employ field sales representatives to proactively train and equip market providers to convey the energy
	and money saving benefits to consumers. Further, the existence of cash-back incentives will elevate efficiency to a competitive issue that will naturally motivate market providers to stock and promote targeted products.
Eligible Measures	The Prescriptive Incentive Program targets measures where the unit energy savings can be reliably predicted and therefore standard per-measure savings ("deemed savings") and incentive levels can be established. This simplifies the application process and reduces administrative costs. The measures, savings and incentive levels listed below have been specified for planning purposes only. Deemed savings values were based on documented values from the Michigan Statewide Deemed Savings Database (as identified by MPSC Order U-15800.) The utility will revise eligible measures and incentive levels as needed in accordance with current market conditions, technology development, EM&V results, and program implementation experience. Table below shows both energy savings and proposed incentive levels.

Measure	Incentive per Unit	Electrical Energy Savings Unit (kWh)
Lighting		
Central lighting Control	\$600.00	11,50
Daylighting Controls - Automatic stepped, minimum 3 lighting levels	\$900.00	14,80
Occupancy Sensors - ≤ 500 Watts	\$30.00	39
Occupancy Sensors - ≥ 500 Watts	\$50.00	99
Occupancy Sensors or Multi-level Switching	\$600.00	8,00
Exterior Bi-Level Control W/ override 150-1000W HID	\$125.00	74
Sports Field Hi-Low Control	\$175.00	14
CFL ≤30 Watts - Replaces Incandescent	\$2.00	20
CFL High Wattage > 31Watts - Replaces Incandescent	\$5.00	20
CFL Fixture - Replaces Incandescent Fixture	\$22.00	34
CFL Reflector Flood Lamps - Replaces incandescent reflector flood lamps	\$5.00	14
T8 4ft 1 lamp	\$7.50	4
T8 4ft 2 lamp	\$9.00	7
T8 4ft 3 lamp	\$16.50	12
T8 4ft 4 lamp	\$19.50	14
T8 8ft 1 lamp	\$10.50	4
T8 8ft 2 lamp	\$13.50	-
T8 2ft 1 lamp	\$7.50	2
T8 2ft 2 lamp	\$9.00	3
T8 2ft 3 lamp	\$9.30	-
T8 2ft 4 lamp	\$12.00	8
T8 3ft 1 lamp	\$7.50	4
T8 3ft 2 lamp	\$9.00	3
T8 3ft 3 lamp	\$12.75	4
T8 3ft 4 lamp	\$18.00	-
T5 1L (w/electronic ballast) replacing T12	\$10.50	4
T5 2L replacing T12	\$15.00	4
T5 3L replacing T12	\$18.00	Ş
T5 4L replacing T12	\$21.00	8
T5 HO 1L replacing T12	\$12.00	Ę
T5 HO 2L replacing T12	\$16.50	-
T5 HO 3L replacing T12	\$19.50	Ş
T5 HO 4L replacing T12	\$22.50	19
T8 LW HP 1L-4 ft	\$6.00	2
T8 LW HP 2L-4 ft	\$9.00	
T8 LW HP 3L-4 ft	\$15.00	(
T8 LW HP 4L-4 ft	\$18.00	(
T8 HO 8 ft 1 Lamp	\$18.00	9
T8 HO 8 ft 2 Lamp	\$24.00	18
T12 8ft 1 lamp retrofit to HPT8 T8 4ft 2 lamp	\$15.00	(
T12 8ft 2 lamp retrofit to HPT8 T8 4ft 4 lamp	\$22.50	4
T12HO 8ft 1 lamp retrofit to HPT8 T8 4ft 2 lamp	\$20.00	17
T12HO 8ft 2 lamp retrofit to HPT8 T8 4ft 4 lamp	\$30.00	29
HPT8 4ft 1 lamp, T8 to HPT8	\$4.00	

HPT8 4ft 2 lamp, T8 to HPT8	\$6.00	31
HPT8 4ft 3 lamp, T8 to HPT8	\$10.00	35
HPT8 4ft 4 lamp, T8 to HPT8	\$12.00	52
HPT8 4ft 1 lamp, T12 to HPT8	\$6.00	63
HPT8 4ft 2 lamp, T12 to HPT8	\$8.00	82
HPT8 4ft 3 lamp, T12 to HPT8	\$12.00	145
HPT8 4ft 4 lamp, T12 to HPT8	\$16.00	170
LW HPT8 4ft 1 lamp, T8LWT8	\$6.00	29
LW HPT8 4ft 2 lamp, T8LWT8	\$9.00	48
LW HPT8 4ft 3 lamp, T8LWT8	\$15.00	62
LW HPT8 4ft 4 lamp	\$18.00	92
High Bay T5 HO 3L	\$80.00	449
High Bay T5 HO 4L	\$96.00	882
High Bay T5 HO 6L	\$150.00	374
High Bay T5 HO 6L (double fixture replacing 1000w HID)	\$300.00	1,456
High Bay T8 F32 4L	\$75.00	616
High Bay T8 F32 6L	\$80.00	961
High Bay T8 F32 8L	\$100.00	649
High Bay T8 F32 8L (double fixture replacing 1000W HID)	\$200.00	2,005
High Bay CFL 42W 8L	\$75.00	345
Metal Halide (MH), Electronic Ballast, Pulse Start (retrofit only)	\$75.00	430
LED HE Exterior - replaces ≤ 175W Induction HID (retrofit only)	\$120.00	268
LED HE Exterior - replaces 175-250W Induction HID (retrofit only)	\$150.00	409
LED HE Exterior - replaces 250-400W Induction HID (retrofit only)	\$180.00	706
LED HE Garage - replaces ≤ 175W Induction HID (retrofit only)	\$120.00	611
LED HE Garage - replaces 175-250W Induction HID (retrofit only)	\$150.00	936
LED HE Garage - replaces 250-400W Induction HID (retrofit only)	\$180.00	1,614
LED Exit Lighting - (retrofit only)	\$12.50	201
LED Traffic Signal	\$25.00	275
LED Pedestrian Signals	\$50.00	150

HVAC		
A/C <65 MBh, ≥ 14.0SEER or ≥ 11.6 EER	\$150.00	369
A/C 65-134 MBh, ≥ 11.5 EER	\$400.00	1,008
A/C 135-239 MBh, ≥ 11.5 EER	\$800.00	2,916
A/C 240-759 MBh, ≥ 10.5 EER	\$1,000.00	3,222
Heat Pump <65 MBh, ≥ 14.0SEER or ≥ 11.6 EER	\$130.00	220
Heat Pump 65-134 MBh, ≥ 11.5 EER	\$400.00	639
Heat Pump 135-239 MBh, ≥ 11.5 EER	\$700.00	774
Heat Pump 240-759 MBh, ≥ 10.5 EER	\$900.00	1,386
Air Cooled Chiller	\$8,000.00	29,565
Water Cooled Chiller < 150 ton	\$2,000.00	15,120
Water Cooled Chiller 150 - 300 ton	\$9,200.00	45,540
Water Cooled Chiller > 300 ton	\$40,000.00	198,000

Motors		
Motor $1 \le X < 5 \text{ HP}$	\$40.00	113

Motor 7.5 ≤ X < 20 HP	\$104.00	408
Motor 25 ≤ X < 100 HP	\$275.00	1,056
Motor 125 ≤ X < 250 HP	\$720.00	2,435

Drives		
Drive 1.5 HP	\$90.00	1,623
Drive 2 HP	\$120.00	2,165
Drive 3 HP	\$180.00	3,246
Drive 5 HP	\$300.00	5,357
Drive 7.5 HP	\$450.00	8,116
Drive 10 HP	\$600.00	10,713
Drive 15 HP	\$900.00	16,232
Drive 20 HP	\$1,200.00	21,643
Drive 25 HP	\$1,500.00	27,054
Drive 30 HP	\$1,800.00	32,465
Drive 40 HP	\$2,400.00	43,286
Drive 50 HP	\$3,000.00	54,108
Drive - Planning Purposes	\$2,500.00	78,269

Food Service		
Vending Equipment Controller	\$50.00	800
ENERGY STAR Commercial Solid Door Refrigerators < 20ft3	\$125.00	905
ENERGY STAR Commercial Solid Door Refrigerators 20 to 48 ft3	\$250.00	1,069
ENERGY STAR Commercial Solid Door Refrigerators > 48ft3	\$450.00	1,361
ENERGY STAR Commercial Solid Door Freezers less than 20ft3	\$75.00	520
ENERGY STAR Commercial Solid Door Freezers 20 to 48 ft3	\$200.00	507
ENERGY STAR Commercial Solid Door Freezers > 48ft3	\$350.00	483
ENERGY STAR Ice Machines less than 500 lbs	\$300.00	1,652
ENERGY STAR Ice Machines 500 to 1000 lbs	\$450.00	2,695
ENERGY STAR Ice Machines more than 1000 lbs	\$1,000.00	6,048
ENERGY STAR Steam Cookers 3 Pan	\$450.00	11,188
ENERGY STAR Steam Cookers 4 Pan	\$600.00	12,159
ENERGY STAR Steam Cookers 5 Pan	\$750.00	13,139
ENERGY STAR Steam Cookers 6 Pan	\$900.00	15,170
ENERGY STAR Hot Holding Cabinets Half Size	\$350.00	1,788
ENERGY STAR Hot Holding Cabinets Three Quarter Size	\$400.00	2,832
ENERGY STAR Hot Holding Cabinets Full Size	\$600.00	5,278
ENERGY STAR Fryers	\$225.00	983
Griddle - cooking efficiency = 0.70	\$300.00	1,637
Convection Ovens - cooking efficiency = 0.70	\$300.00	2,262
Combination Ovens - cooking efficiency = 0.60	\$1,500.00	18,432
Pre Rinse Sprayers - < 1.6 gpm	\$25.00	1,396
Anti Sweat Heater Controls	\$100.00	1,489

Implementation Strategy

- Planning coordination with other utilities: The utility's implementation contractor will
 work closely with other appropriate Michigan utilities to coordinate incentive levels,
 eligibility requirements, marketing materials, and outreach.
- Outreach to market providers. The implementation contractor will inform and recruit

	participating market providers. Outreach will include orientation meetings and conducting in-person visits aimed at training and equipping market providers to communicate program information to customers. The Contractor will ensure that providers have an updated stock of program materials. Key market providers that will be targeted include: • Lighting distributors, wholesalers, • HVAC distributors and retail contractors • Motors/compressed air vendors • Food service equipment distributors and retailers • Engineering firms • Outreach to targeted customers. The implementation contractor will personally contact energy managers and decision makers within the targeted customer sectors. The Contractor will assist business customers in determining whether the prescriptive incentives or the custom approach would be most appropriate for their operations. The utility's customer service representatives may also assist with outreach within the course of their regular contacts with business customers.
Marketing Strategy	 The Commercial Prescriptive Incentive Program will employ the following marketing strategies: Engage market providers. Outreach and training will be provided to a targeted group of providers that have business motivations for promoting Prescriptive Incentives to their customers. Directly market to targeted customers. Depending on potential budget limitations, the utility may decide to initially pursue a very targeted marketing strategy with business customers to ensure that the program isn't over-subscribed. Initial targeted customer sectors might include schools, municipal office buildings, retail, food service, and lodging.
Milestones in 2009	February-March: Develop Energy Optimization Plan April: File Energy Optimization Plan with MPSC April-May: Select program implementation contractor July: Launch program
EM&V Requirements	 The utility's implementation contractor will be responsible for implementing the following types of measurement and verification activities to facilitate the utility's third-party evaluation work: Collect and track all customer, measure installation, and incentive data. Verify that each product on which incentives are paid meets the prescribed efficiency standards using third party databases (e.g. ENERGY STAR, GAMA, ARI). Products that cannot be verified using a credible third party database will be considered on a case-by-case basis; product performance information will be requested from the contractor or manufacturer and efficiency will be verified by a qualified engineer. Conduct on-site inspections of 2% to 5% of equipment for which customers receive incentives to verify that products were installed and that the model and serial numbers match those provided on the incentive claim. Any inconsistencies will be researched and the resolution recorded. Market providers associated with inconsistencies will receive follow up inspections on projects that they are associated with.
Estimated Participation	Participation (in Units of Installed Measures)

	2009	2010	2011	2012
	8,268	10,803	13,304	13,737
Estimated Budget				
		Annual	Budgets	
	2009	2010	2011	2012
	\$250,156	\$326,752	\$402,395	\$415,473
Savings Targets		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
		Energy Savings (C	Gross Annual kWh)	
	2009	2010	2011	2012
	1,822,088	2,380,645	2,931,764	3,027,047

Program Element	Commercial/Industrial Custom Incentive Program			
Objective	Affect the installation of site-specific and unique energy efficiency technologies and process improvements (that do not fit the parameters of the prescriptive incentive program) by business customers that would not have done so in the absence of the program.			
Target Market	The Custom Incentive Program will be available to all commercial and industrial customers. The program will serve all customer requests, but the utility will work with its implementation contractor to identify a select group of customers whose operations could most benefit from custom approach. Target markets could include: • Large manufacturing facilities • Hospitals • Schools • Lodging/hospitality			
Program Duration	Start-up in July 2009. The Custom Incentive Program will be an ongoing element of the program portfolio.			
Program Description	The utility is interested in providing a seamless set of energy efficiency services to its business customers. Over the long term, the Custom Incentive Program will allow the utility to develop and enhance the assistance they can provide to businesses with unique opportunities — including industrial process improvements, emerging technologies, and new facility design and/or modernization. The Custom Incentive Program helps customers and market providers identify more complex energy savings projects, analyze the economics of each project, and complete a customized incentive grant application. If additional budget is available, the program could also approve and co-fund a limited number of investment-grade audits and/or feasibility studies to assess opportunities and motivate the customer to take action.			
Eligible Measures	The Custom Incentive Program identifies unique measures for each participant, so specific savings and incentives are determined when the project is specified. Any cost-effective electrical measure that is not covered by the Prescriptive Incentive Program is potentially eligible.			
Implementation Strategy	 Outreach to targeted customers. The utility's implementation contractor will work closely with the utility to identify and conduct face-to-face meetings with key end-use customers to recruit their participation. The contractor will target decision makers within the customer's organization including: energy managers, facility managers, financial and operations managers, chief engineer and facility/property managers, maintenance supervisors, and building operators. Outreach to key influencers. The implementation contractor's energy advisor(s) will work to generate awareness of the Custom Incentive Program through presentations and seminars with appropriate trade associations (ASHRAE, BOMA, school administrators, 			

	1				aciiiieiii b
	 Outreach to market providers. The energy advisor(s) will also conduct in person visits to key market providers at their place of business to recruit their support in providing referrals of custom incentive projects. Technical assistance: The implementation contractor's energy advisors will provide engineering support to identify and analyze the cost-effectiveness of energy saving opportunities. The energy advisor will work with the customer and/or market provider to complete custom engineering calculations that assess the energy savings potential, payback horizon, project eligibility, and incentive amount. If the project is deemed eligible, the advisor will assist the customer or market provide in completing a Custom Incentive grant application. Quality assurance: Incentive applications will be subject to a quality assurance review by program technical staff to ensure accuracy of savings estimates and incentive calculations. Verification: The implementation contractor will provide on-site verification for a specified % of completed projects. 				
Marketing Strategy	The marketing strategy for the Custom Incentive Program is a very direct networking approach with trade groups, business associations, and key customers. The program will affect the purchase and installation of efficient technologies or implementation of process improvements by working directly with: Key end-use customers, and Market providers – to identify potential energy savings projects, analyze the economics of each project, and complete an incentive grant application.				
Milestones in 2009	This strategy for prowith trade allies and February-March: De	utility staff to identify evelop Energy Optin	projects.	ent upon referrals a	nd networking
	April: File Energy Optimization Plan with MPSC April-May: Select program implementation contractor July: Launch program				
EM&V Requirements	To facilitate accurate measurement and verification the utility will collect the following information on each incentive transaction: • Business customer data (e.g. name, address, telephone, e-mail) • Installation data (e.g. address, date, contactor) • Complete project and measure information (e.g. quantity, model, serial number, efficiency and payback calculations) • Transaction data (e.g. invoice, measure cost, purchase date)				
Estimated Participation					ı
	Participation 2010				
	Number of cu	stom projects	2009 2010 N/A N/A	2011 2012 N/A N/A	
Estimated Budget		Annual	Budgets		
	2009	2010	2011	2012	
	\$54,418 \$108,837 \$261,208 \$417,933				

<u> </u>	
Savings	Largets
Caviligo	iaigoto

	Energy Savings (C	Gross Annual kWh)	
2009	2010	2011	2012
377,905	755,810	1,813,944	2,902,310

Program Element	Commercial & Ir	ndustrial Educati	ional Services		
Objective	efficiency.To provide educa participate in the	ational materials and utility's energy optim	ss of the benefits of e services that motiva nization programs and er reduce energy con	te business custome d to motivate energy	ers to
Target Market	All commercial and in	ndustrial customers.			
Program Duration	Start-up in July 2009 portfolio.	. Educational service	es will be an ongoing	element of the prog	ram
Program Description	educational	outreach initiatives to	ons programs, the ut build and expand the ient energy manager	e business custome	
Eligible Measures	Not applicable for thi	s program.			
Implementation Strategy	 that promote Work with th agencies and programs. 	duce, and distribute the benefits of energe Chamber of Comm	energy efficiency tips gy efficiency. nerce, Mayor's office, ations to promote the	s, fact sheets and ca	ent
Marketing Strategy	See implementation	strategy for a list of r	marketing activities.		
Milestones in 2009	February-March: De April: File Energy Op April-May: Select po July: Launch progra	otimization Plan with rogram implementati	MPSC		
EM&V Requirements	None at this time.				
Estimated Participation	To be determined.				
Estimated Budget		Annual	Budgets		
	2009	2010	2011	2012	
	\$7,215	\$11,378	\$17,625	\$22,785	

\sim		_	
<.a≀	vings	larc	1Atc
Oa	viiius	Idic	ıcıs

	Energy Savings (Gross Annual kWh)	
2009	2010	2011	2012
48,079	75,174	107,045	137,547

Program Element	Commercial & Industrial Pilot/Emerging Technology Programs
Objective	To identify and learn more about new energy efficient technologies and program strategies with potential to capture additional electric energy savings in the business sector.
Target Market	Dependent on specific technology/program.
Program Duration	Initially, the utility will focus on the successful start-up and delivery of well-established programs that have been proven to capture significant energy savings in similar regions throughout the country. Beginning in 2010, the utility plans to coordinate with other initiatives that might be undertaken by municipal utilities to research and pilot innovative technologies and strategies that will reduce commercial and industrial energy consumption.
Program Description	 Promotion of LED lighting technology in commercial applications. Emerging electric technologies specific to the utility's customer base. Electric storage systems for commercial and industrial applications. Recent advances in equipment, controls, and design techniques for large and small commercial HVAC systems, including new chiller designs and variable air volume box controls. New water and energy saving technologies for the municipality's water handling system. Design strategies from some of the most highly efficient new buildings that are achieving significant savings from technologies that are under-adopted or "emerging" in today's market. New and emerging technologies for daylighting applications including communications and controls.
Eligible Measures	To be determined based on programs selected.
Implementation Strategy	To be determined based on programs selected.
Marketing Strategy	To be determined based on programs selected.
Milestones	February-March: Develop Energy Optimization Plan April: File Energy Optimization Plan with MPSC April-May: Select program implementation contractor July 2010: Launch program
EM&V Requirements	Not available at this time.
Estimated Participation	To be determined based on programs selected.
Estimated Budget	

	Annual Budgets			
	2009	2010	2011	2012
		\$7,585	\$23,500	\$37,975
Savings Targets		Energy Savings (0	Gross Annual kWh)	
	2009	2010	2011	2012
		50,116	142,726	229,245
	2009	2010	2011	2

BEW

ATTACHMENT C

Holland Board of Public Works

January 16, 2009

Dear Customer.

A Community Owned Utility I am writing to inform you of options that may impact your business under the new legislation. In October of 2008 the Michigan Legislature passed Public Act 295 (PA 295) which is known as the "clean, renewable, and efficient energy act" (link to view this Act is: http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?2007-SB-0213). Under this Act all electric providers in the State of Michigan are required to propose an energy optimization plan that includes energy efficiency programs for each customer class. However, some electric customers may elect to not participate in a utility based energy optimization plan if they implement their own self-directed program.

Electricity

Water

Waste Treatment Section 93 of PA 295 states "(1) An eligible primary or secondary electric customer is exempt from charges the customer would otherwise incur under section 89 or 91 if the customer files with its electric provider and implements a self-directed energy optimization plan as provided in this section." The first Phase of eligible customers in 2009 and 2010 are those who have had an annual peak demand in the preceding year of at least 2 megawatts at each site or in the aggregate at all sites of 10 megawatts, within a service providers territory.

If a customer chooses to implement a self-directed plan, that site is exempt from energy optimization program surcharges under section 89 or 91 and is not eligible to participate in the relevant electric provider's energy efficiency programs. Customers choosing to undertake their own, self directed, energy optimization plan are required by PA 295 to meet certain electric energy savings standards. Those standards can be found in section 77 and are listed below.

- (a) Biennial incremental energy savings in 2008-2009 equivalent to 0.3% of total annual retail electricity sales in megawatt hours in 2007.
- (b) Annual incremental energy savings in 2010 equivalent to 0.5% of total annual retail electricity sales in megawatt hours in 2009.
- (c) Annual incremental energy savings in 2011 equivalent to 0.75% of total annual retail electricity sales in megawatt hours in 2010.
- (d) Annual incremental energy savings in 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015 and, subject to section 97, each year thereafter equivalent to 1.0% of total annual retail electricity sales in megawatt hours in the preceding year.

625 Hastings Avenu e

Holland, MI 49423

Telephone (616) 355-1520 Facsimile The Michigan Public Service Commission (MPSC) has been charged with administering PA 295 and has required electric service providers to provide their best estimates of the surcharges to customers who elect to remain part of the electric provider's energy optimization program (link to the MPSC Case No. U-15800 is listed as: http://www.dleg.state.mi.us/mpsc/orders/electric/2008/u-15800 12-04-2008.pdf





At this time, the Holland Board of Public Works has not created surcharges for the current year. Notification will be sent once the charges have been established.

The schedule for Phase 1 eligible self-directed customers is as follows:

- 1. Eligible Phase 1 customers are to notify their electric provider of their intent to implement a self-directed program.
- 2. January 30, 2009 customers will submit their self-directed energy optimization plans to their electric provider.

Currently the Holland Board of Public Works is developing programs that will offer customers a portfolio of options and incentives that will focus on optimizing energy usage in their homes and businesses. We anticipate these programs to be launched in 2009.

Please direct notifications, self-directed energy optimization plans, questions and concerns to Julie Cook, 616-355-1630.

Sincerely,

Loren Howard General Manager